REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claim 1 is objected to as including reference to both "frame" and "frame member". Claim 1 has been amended to be consistent in using the term "frame".

Claim 1 stands rejected under 35U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Kieffer and under 35U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Hollinghead in view of Schaffer. Claim 1 as amended is believed to patentably distinguish over the rejection of record. While the guide arms (as suggested in the Office Action) of Kieffer and Hollinghead may be pivotable in the broad sense (by loosening thumbscrews or other normally tight connections), Applicant's invention contemplates and claims that these connections pivot during use to allow the guide wheel to follow the vehicle path. Neither of these references contemplates the pointer moving in response to the vehicle turning.

Claim 1 also calls for the second guide arm to be pivotably attached to the frame <u>and</u> the first guide arm (emphasis added). Neither reference shows both these attachments. The Schaffer patent does not show these limitations.

Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that the Application is now in condition for allowance

Respectfully submitted,

Douglas B. Farrow Registration No. 28582 Graco Inc. PO Box 1441 Minneapolis, MN 55440 (612) 623-6769 dfarrow@graco.com